

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/542,737	SERNIUK ET AL.
	Examiner Tim Heitbrink	Art Unit 1722

All Participants:

(1) Tim Heitbrink

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(2) Richard Musgrave

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 14 August 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

4,6,8,10,11,13,15,17,18,20

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. Musgrave agreed to the changes as found in the Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


Tim Heitbrink
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)